1	2	3	4	5
6.	Goa	1169793	203	25,000
7.	Gujarat	41309582	144	5,83,000
8.	Haryana	16463648	113	1,83,000
9.	Himachal Pradesh	5170877	87	45,000
10.	Jammu & Kashmir	7718 700	280	2,11,000
11.	Karnataka	44977201	129	5,59,000
12.	Kerala	29098 518	131	3,67,000
13.	Madhya Pradesh	66181170	201	13,22,000
14.	Maharashtra	789 37187	164	12,52,000
15.	Manipur	1837149	65	11,000
16.	Meghalaya	1774778	22	3,000
17.	Mizoram	68 9756	NA	
18.	Nagaland	1209546	38	4,000
19.	Orissa	31659736	172	5,38,000
20.	Punjab	20281969	73	1,40,000
21.	Rajasthan	4399 7990	224	9,38,000
22.	Sikkim	40 6457	45	3,000
23.	Tamil Nadu	55858946	16 5	9,22,000
24.	Tripura	2757 205	118	34,000
2 5.	Uttar Pradesh	139112287	158	20,98,000
26.	West Bengal	68077 965	96	6,54,000
27.	A&N Islands	280661	67	1,900
28.	Chandigarh	642015	189	11,400
29.	D&N Haveli	138477	NA	
3 0.	Daman & Diu	101586	NA	_
31.	Lakshadweep	51707	89	400
32.	Pondicherry	80778 5	NA	
	Total	846302688	149	12435200

235

- * Source: WHO/GOI National Survey (1986-89)
- ** Survey results projected for 1991 census population

Improvement of National Highways in Gujarat

320. SHRI N.J. RATHWA: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Roads and Buildings Department of Gujarat have sent proposals to the Union Government regarding Development and Improvement of National Highways in Gujarat during the last three years;

- (b) if so, the details of the proposals received till date;
- (c) the number of proposals accepted or rejected yearwise so far and the number of proposals under consideration/ pending;
 - (d) the factual details in this regard; and
- (e) the time by which these proposals would be accepted and the latest position thereof?

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI T.G. VENKATRAMAN): (a) to (e) Yes, Sir. Out of about 185 proposals received from the State Government of Gujarat during the last three years, 90 road/bridge projects costing Rs. 9962.08 lakhs have been sanctioned towards the development of works. The other works could not be sanctioned mainly due to financial stringency under the Central Sector Roads programme.

[English]

Inland Water Transport

- 321. DR. KRUPASINDHU BHOI: Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:
- (a) whether the Government have framed any long term plan to develop inland water transport;
- (b) if so, the details thereof and the tenure of the long term plan to develop inland water transport;
- (c) whether a separate task force is proposed to be set up for the purpose; and
 - (d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI T.G. VENKATRAMAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

- (b) The Working Group set up to suggest policies and programmes for IWT sector during 9th Five Year Plan submitted its report. The recommendations of the Working Group present detailed programmes for IWT development in next five years as well as policy to be adopted in 9th Plan and beyond to make IWT a viable transport system wherever its potential exists. The report of the Working Group is under process.
 - (c) No, Sir.
 - (d) Does not arise.

National Security Council

- 322. SHRI B.L. SHARMA 'PREM' : Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state :
- (a) whether the Government are considering any proposal for setting up of high level National Security Council with the aim of co-ordinating the views of the specialists from all the concerned fields;